arXiv:1411.5790v1 [math.AP] 21 Nov 2014

A NEW PROOF OF GRADIENT ESTIMATES FOR MEAN CURVATURE EQUATIONS WITH OBLIQUE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

JINJU XU

Abstract. In this paper, we will use the maximum principle to give a new proof of the gradient estimates for mean curvature equations with some oblique derivative problems. Specially, we shall give a new proof for the capillary problem with zero gravity in any dimension $n \geq 2$ and Neumann problem in $n = 2, 3$ dimensions.

1. Introduction

The interior gradient estimates and the Dirichlet problem for the prescribed mean curvature equation have been extensively studied, see Gilbarg and Trudinger [2].

Many authors have also considered various oblique boundary value problems for second order elliptic equations. We refer to the literature Lieberman [5] and the references therein.

In this note, we mainly consider the following oblique boundary value problem for prescribed mean curvature equation

$$\mathtt{div}\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}}\right) = f(x,u) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{1.1}$$

$$v^{q-1}\frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} + \psi(x,u) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain, $n \geq 2$, γ is the inward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ and $q \geq 0$, $v = \sqrt{1+|Du|^2}$.

In (1.2), for $q = 0$, it is corresponding to capillary boundary condition and for $q = 1$, it is corresponding to Neumann boundary value.

For the mean curvature equation with capillary problem, there have been many existence results such as Ural'tseva [9], Simon-Spruck [7], Gerhardt [1]. They obtained gradient estimates via test function technique. Spruck[8] used the maximum principle to obtain boundary gradient estimate in two dimension for positive gravity case ($f_u \geq C_0 > 0$, C_0 is a constant). Korevaar[3] generalized his normal variation technique and got the gradient estimates in the positive gravity case in high dimensions case. Simultaneously,

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35B45; Secondary 35J92, 35B50.

Lieberman[4] used maximum principle to get the gradient estimates on general quasilinear elliptic equations with capillary problem in zero gravity case ($f_u \geq 0$).

For the problem (1.1), (1.2), Lieberman ([5], in page 360) proved the gradient estimates for $q > 1$ or $q = 0$. Recently, Ma-Xu[6] have given the gradient estimates of mean curvature equations with Neumann problem via maximum principle. Moreover, they got an existence result in positive gravity case.

In this paper, we use maximum principle to give new proofs of gradient estimates for the problem (1.1), (1.2) with $q > 1$ or $q = 0$ or $q = 1$ ($n = 2, 3$ dimensions) cases respectively. Our proofs are elementary and based on the choice of auxiliary functions.

Let's restate the following three results. First consider the boundary value condition with $\psi = \psi(x)$.

$$v^{q-1}\frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} + \psi(x) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \tag{1.3}$$

Theorem 1.1 ([5]). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain, $n \geq 2$, $\partial\Omega \in C^3$, and γ be the inward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$. Suppose $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \bigcap C^3(\Omega)$ is a solution of (1.1), (1.3) with $q > 1$. $f(x, z), \psi(x)$ are given functions defined in $\overline{\Omega} \times [-M_0, M_0]$ and $\overline{\Omega}$ respectively. Furthermore we assume there exist positive constants M_0, L_1, L_2 such that*

$$|u| \leq M_0 \quad in \quad \overline{\Omega}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$f_z(x, z) \geq 0 \quad in \quad \overline{\Omega} \times [-M_0, M_0], \tag{1.5}$$

$$|f(x, z)| + |f_x(x, z)| \leq L_1 \quad in \quad \overline{\Omega} \times [-M_0, M_0], \tag{1.6}$$

$$|\psi(x)|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega})} \leq L_2. \tag{1.7}$$

Then there exists a small positive constant μ_0 such that

$$\sup_{\overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0}} |Du| \leq \max\{M_1, M_2\},$$

where M_1 is a positive constant depending only on n, μ_0, M_0, L_1, which is from the interior gradient estimates; M_2 is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, \mu_0, M_0, L_1, L_2$, and $d(x) = \mathtt{dist}(x, \partial\Omega), \Omega_{\mu_0} = \{x \in \Omega : d(x) < \mu_0\}$.

Theorem 1.2 ([1], [4]). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain, $n \geq 2$, $\partial\Omega \in C^3$, and γ be the inward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$. Suppose $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \bigcap C^3(\Omega)$ is a solution of (1.1), (1.3) with $q = 0$ and satisfies (1.4). $f(x, z), \psi(x)$ are given functions defined in $\overline{\Omega} \times [-M_0, M_0]$ and $\overline{\Omega}$ respectively. Assume $f(x, z)$ satisfies (1.5)-(1.6) and $\psi(x)$ satisfies (1.7). Furthermore we assume there exists a positive constant b_0 such that*

$$|\psi(x)|_{C^0(\partial\Omega)} \leq b_0 < 1. \tag{1.8}$$

Then there exists a small positive constant μ_0 such that

$$\sup_{\overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0}} |Du| \leq \max\{M_1, M_2\},$$

where M_1 is a positive constant depending only on n, μ_0, M_0, L_1, which is from the interior gradient estimates; M_2 is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, \mu_0, M_0, L_1, L_2, b_0$.

The following boundary gradient estimate of solutions for Neumann problem of mean curvature equations has been given by Ma-Xu[6] in any dimension $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.3 ([6]). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (n=2,3) be a bounded domain, $\partial\Omega \in C^3$, and γ be the inward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$. Suppose $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \bigcap C^3(\Omega)$ is a solution of (1.1), (1.2) with $q = 1$ and satisfies (1.4). $f(x, z), \psi(x, z)$ are given functions defined in $(\overline{\Omega} \times [-M_0, M_0])$ respectively. And $f(x, z)$ satisfies the conditions (1.5)-(1.6). Furthermore assume there exists a positive constant L_3 such that*

$$|\psi(x, z)|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega}\times[-M_0,M_0])} \leq L_3. \tag{1.9}$$

Then there exists a small positive constant μ_0 such that we have the following estimate

$$\sup_{\overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0}} |Du| \leq \max\{M_1, M_2\},$$

where M_1 is a positive constant depending only on n, μ_0, M_0, L_1, which is from the interior gradient estimates; M_2 is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, \mu_0, M_0, L_1, L_3$.

As we stated before, there is a standard interior gradient estimates for the mean curvature equation.

Remark 1.4 ([2]). *If $u \in C^3(\Omega)$ is a bounded solution for the equation (1.1) with (1.4), and if $f \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times [-M_0, M_0])$ satisfies the conditions (1.5)-(1.6), then for any subdomain $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, we have*

$$\sup_{\Omega'} |Du| \leq M_1,$$

where M_1 is a positive constant depending only on $n, M_0, \mathtt{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega), L_1$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we first give the definitions and some notations. We prove Theorem 1.1 in section 3 under the help of one lemma. This lemma will be proved in section 4. In section 5, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. Finally we give the proof of Theorem 1.3 in section 6.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We denote by Ω a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, $\partial\Omega \in C^3$, set

$$d(x) = \mathtt{dist}(x, \partial\Omega),$$

and

$$\Omega_\mu = \{x \in \Omega : d(x) < \mu\}.$$

Then it is well known that there exists a positive constant $\mu_1 > 0$ such that $d(x) \in C^3(\overline{\Omega}_{\mu_1})$. As in Simon-Spruck [7] or Lieberman [5] in page 331, we can take $\gamma = Dd$ in Ω_{μ_1} and note that γ is a $C^2(\overline{\Omega}_{\mu_1})$ vector field. As mentioned in [4] and the book [5], we also have the following formulas

$$\begin{aligned} |D\gamma| + |D^2\gamma| \leq & C(n, \Omega) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_{\mu_1}, \\ \sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \gamma^i D_j \gamma^i = 0, \sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \gamma^i D_i \gamma^j = & 0, \ |\gamma| = 1 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_{\mu_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

As in [5], we define

$$c^{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \gamma^i \gamma^j \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_{\mu_1}, \tag{2.2}$$

and for a vector $\zeta \in R^n$, we write ζ' for the vector with $i-$th component $\sum_{1\leq j\leq n} c^{ij}\zeta_j$. So

$$|D'u|^2 = \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} c^{ij} u_i u_j. \tag{2.3}$$

Let

$$a^{ij}(Du) = v^2\delta_{ij} - u_i u_j, \quad v = (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then the equations (1.1), (1.2) are equivalent to the following boundary value problem

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij} u_{ij} = f(x, u)v^3 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{2.5}$$

$$u_\gamma = -v^{1-q}\psi(x, u) \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \tag{2.6}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Now we begin to prove Theorem 1.1., using the technique developed by Spruck [8], Lieberman [4] and Wang [10], we shall choose an auxiliary function which contains $|D'u|^2$ and other lower order terms. Then we use the maximum principle for this auxiliary function in $\overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0}, 0 < \mu_0 < \mu_1$. At last, we get our estimates.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let

$$P(x) = \log |D'u|^2 e^{\sqrt{n}\alpha_0(M_0+1+u)} e^{\alpha_0 d},$$

where we have let

$$\alpha_0 = 2C_0L_2 + 2C_0 + 2,$$

which is a constant, and C_0 is also a positive constant depending only on n, Ω.

In order to simplify the computation, let

$$\varphi(x) = \log P(x) = \log\log|D'u|^2 + h(u) + g(d),$$

where in the $q > 1$ boundary value case, we choose

$$h(u) = \sqrt{n}\alpha_0(M_0 + 1 + u), \quad g(d) = \alpha_0 d. \tag{3.1}$$

We assume that $\varphi(x)$ attains its maximum at $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0}$, where $0 < \mu_0 < \mu_1$ is a sufficiently small number which we shall decide it later.

Now we divide three cases to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Case I. If $\varphi(x)$ attains its maximum at $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, then we shall use the Hopf Lemma to get the bound of $|D'u|(x_0)$.

Case II. If $\varphi(x)$ attains its maximum at $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_{\mu_0} \bigcap \Omega$, then we shall get the estimates via the standard interior gradient bound [2].

Case III. If $\varphi(x)$ attains its maximum at $x_0 \in \Omega_{\mu_0}$, in this case for the sufficiently small constant $\mu_0 > 0$, then we can use the maximum principle to get the bound of $|D'u|(x_0)$.

Now all computations work at the point x_0.

Case I. If $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, we shall get the bound of $|D'u|(x_0)$.

We differentiate φ along the normal direction.

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma} = \frac{\sum_{1\le i\le n}(|D'u|^2)_i\gamma^i}{|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2} + h'u_\gamma + g'. \tag{3.2}$$

Applying (2.1) and (2.3), it follows that

$$\sum_{1\le i\le n}(|D'u|^2)_i\gamma^i = \sum_{1\le i\le n}(\sum_{1\le k,l\le n}c^{kl}u_ku_l)_i\gamma^i = 2\sum_{1\le i,k,l\le n}c^{kl}u_{ki}u_l\gamma^i. \tag{3.3}$$

Differentiating (2.6) with respect to tangential direction, we have

$$\sum_{1\le k\le n}c^{kl}(u_\gamma)_k = -\sum_{1\le k\le n}c^{kl}(v^{1-q}\psi)_k. \tag{3.4}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{1\le i,k\le n}c^{kl}u_{ik}\gamma^i = -\sum_{1\le i,k\le n}c^{kl}u_i(\gamma^i)_k - v^{1-q}\sum_{1\le k\le n}c^{kl}D_k\psi - (1-q)\psi v^{-q}\sum_{1\le k\le n}c^{kl}v_k. \tag{3.5}$$

Here in order to avoid repeated calculation in the back, we have let $\psi = \psi(x,u)$ and

$$D_k\psi = \psi_{x_k} + \psi_u u_k. \tag{3.6}$$

Since

$$v^2 = 1 + |D'u|^2 + u_\gamma^2, \tag{3.7}$$

differentiating (3.7) with respect to x_k, we obtain

$$v_k = \frac{(|D'u|^2)_k}{2v} + \frac{u_\gamma}{v} \sum_{1\le i\le n} (u_{ik}\gamma^i + u_i(\gamma^i)_k). \tag{3.8}$$

Inserting (3.8) into (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1\le i,k\le n} c^{kl} u_{ki}\gamma^i =& - \sum_{1\le i,k\le n} c^{kl} u_i(\gamma^i)_k - \frac{v^{1-q}\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{kl} D_k\psi}{1-(1-q)v^{-2q}\psi^2} \\ & - \frac{1-q}{2}\cdot\frac{\psi v^{-q-1}}{1-(1-q)v^{-2q}\psi^2} \sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{kl}(|D'u|^2)_k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Since $\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{kl}\varphi_k = 0$, and $\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{kl}\gamma^k = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{kl}(|D'u|^2)_k =& - |D'u|^2 \log|D'u|^2 \sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{kl}(h'u_k + g'\gamma^k) \\ =& - h'|D'u|^2 \log|D'u|^2 \sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{kl} u_k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Inserting (3.10) into (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1\le i,k\le n} c^{kl} u_{ki}\gamma^i =& - \sum_{1\le i,k\le n} c^{kl} u_i(\gamma^i)_k - \frac{v^{1-q}\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{kl} D_k\psi}{1-(1-q)v^{-2q}\psi^2} \\ & + \frac{1-q}{2}\cdot\frac{h'\psi v^{-q-1}}{1-(1-q)v^{-2q}\psi^2}|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2 \sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{kl} u_k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Putting (3.11) into (3.3), combining (3.2), we have

(3.12)

$$\begin{aligned} |D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma} =& g'|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2 - 2\sum_{1\le i,k,l\le n} c^{kl} u_i u_l(\gamma^i)_k - \frac{2v^{1-q}\sum_{1\le k,l\le n} c^{kl} D_k\psi u_l}{1-(1-q)v^{-2q}\psi^2} \\ & - h'\psi\frac{qv^{1-q}+(1-q)v^{-1-q}}{1-(1-q)v^{-2q}\psi^2}|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we consider $\psi = \psi(x)$, $q > 1$, then we have

(3.13)

$$\begin{aligned} |D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma} =& g'|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2 - 2\sum_{1\le i,k,l\le n} c^{kl} u_i u_l(\gamma^i)_k - \frac{2v^{1-q}\sum_{1\le k,l\le n} c^{kl}\psi_k u_l}{1-(1-q)v^{-2q}\psi^2} \\ & - h'\psi\frac{qv^{1-q}+(1-q)v^{-1-q}}{1-(1-q)v^{-2q}\psi^2}|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since at x_0 ,

$$\psi^2 = v^{2q-2}u_\gamma^2 = (1+|Du|^2)^{q-1}u_\gamma^2, \quad |Du|^2 = |D'u|^2 + u_\gamma^2,$$

then we have if

$$b_1|D'u|^2 < u_\gamma^2, \tag{3.14}$$

where b_1 is a positive constant, then

$$u_\gamma^2 > \frac{b_1}{1+b_1}|Du|^2, \tag{3.15}$$

and we get the estimate

$$(1+|Du|^2)^{q-1}|Du|^2 < \frac{1+b_1}{b_1}\psi^2, \quad |Du|^2 < (\frac{1+b_1}{b_1}\psi^2)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{3.16}$$

and we complete this proof.

So we can assume

$$b_1|D'u|^2 \geq u_\gamma^2, \tag{3.17}$$

then from $|Du|^2 = |D'u|^2 + u_\gamma^2$, we have

$$|Du|^2 \leq (1+b_1)|D'u|^2. \tag{3.18}$$

Now we assume at x_0, we have

$$|Du| \geq \max\{10\sqrt{1+b_1}, (4q\sqrt{n}|\psi|_{C^0(\partial\Omega)})^{\frac{1}{q-1}}\}, \tag{3.19}$$

then we can get the the following estimate at x_0,

$$|D'u| \geq \max\{10, \frac{(4q\sqrt{n}|\psi|_{C^0(\partial\Omega)})^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}{\sqrt{1+b_1}}\}. \tag{3.20}$$

Inserting (3.20) into (3.13), and by the choice of $h(u), g(d)$ in (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D'u|^2 \log|D'u|^2 \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma} \geq & \big[\alpha_0 - C_0 - C_0|\psi|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega})} - \frac{2q\sqrt{n}|\psi|_{C^0(\partial\Omega)}}{v^{q-1}}\alpha_0\big]|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2 \\ \geq & |D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2 \\ > & 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

On the other hand, by the Hopf Lemma, we have

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}(x_0) \leq 0,$$

it is a contradiction to (3.21). Then we have

$$|D'u|(x_0) \leq \max\{10, \frac{(4q\sqrt{n}|\psi|_{C^0(\partial\Omega)})^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}{\sqrt{1+b_1}}\}.$$

So

$$|Du|(x_0) \le \max\{(\frac{1+b_1}{b_1}\psi^2)^{\frac{1}{2q}},\ 10\sqrt{1+b_1},\ (4q\sqrt{n}|\psi|_{C^0(\partial\Omega)})^{\frac{1}{q-1}}\}.$$

Case II. $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_{\mu_0} \bigcap \Omega$. This is due to interior gradient estimates. From Remark 1.4, we have

$$\sup_{\partial\Omega_{\mu_0}\bigcap\Omega} |Du| \le \tilde{M}_1. \tag{3.22}$$

where $\tilde{M}_1$ is a positive constant depending only on n, M_0, μ_0, L_1.

Case III. $x_0 \in \Omega_{\mu_0}$. we shall get the bound of $|D'u|(x_0)$.

In this case, x_0 is a critical point of φ. We choose the normal coordinate at x_0, by rotating the coordinate system suitably, we may assume that $u_i(x_0) = 0$, $2 \le i \le n$ and $u_1(x_0) = |Du| > 0$. And we can further assume that the matrix $(u_{ij}(x_0))(2 \le i, j \le n)$ is diagonal.

We can choose $0 < \mu_0 < \mu_1$, and μ_0 is sufficiently small.

From Gilbarg and Trudinger[2] [page 368, formula (15.38)], we have

$$\sup_{\Omega} |Du|^2 \le C_1(1 + \sup_{\partial\Omega} |Du|^2), \tag{3.23}$$

where C_1 is a positive constant depending on n, L_1, M_0.

From Case I, we can assume (3.17), otherwise we have finished the proof of Theorem 1.1. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\Omega} |Du|^2 \le& C_1\big[1 + (1+b_1) \sup_{\partial\Omega\bigcap\{|D'u|\ge 1\}} |D'u|^2\big] \\ \le& C_2 \sup_{\partial\Omega\bigcap\{|D'u|\ge 1\}} |D'u|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

So we have

$$\sup_{\Omega_{\mu_0}} |Du|^2 \le C_3 \sup_{\overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0}(M)} |D'u|^2, \tag{3.25}$$

where $\Omega_{\mu_0}(M) = \Omega_{\mu_0} \bigcap \{|D'u| \ge M\}$, $M > 10$ is a positive constant; C_3 is a positive constant depending on n, L_1, M_0.

Assume $x_1 \in \Omega_{\mu_0}(M)$ such that

$$\sup_{\Omega_{\mu_0}(M)} |D'u|^2 = |D'u|^2(x_1). \tag{3.26}$$

Since $P(x_0) \ge P(x_1)$, then we have

$$\log |D'u|^2(x_0) h(u(x_0)) g(d(x_0)) \ge \log |D'u|^2(x_1) h(u(x_1)) g(d(x_1)). \tag{3.27}$$

It follows that

$$|D'u|^2(x_1) \le C_4 |D'u|^2(x_0). \tag{3.28}$$

where C_4 is a positive constant depending on n, L_1, L_2, M_0. However

$$\sup_{\Omega_{\mu_0}} |Du|^2 \leq C_3 \sup_{\Omega_{\mu_0}(M)} |D'u|^2 = |D'u|^2(x_1) \leq C_4 |D'u|^2(x_0). \tag{3.29}$$

Assume $|D'u|(x_0) \geq M$, otherwise we get the estimate. Hence at x_0,

$$u_1^2(x_0) = |Du|^2(x_0) \leq C_4 c^{11} u_1^2(x_0). \tag{3.30}$$

Then we have at x_0,

$$c^{11} \geq \frac{1}{C_4} > 0. \tag{3.31}$$

From the above choices, we shall prove Theorem 1.1 with three steps, as we mentioned before, all the calculations will be done at the fixed point x_0.

Step 1: We first get the formula (3.57).

Taking the first derivative of φ,

$$\varphi_i = \frac{(|D'u|^2)_i}{|D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2} + h' u_i + g' \gamma^i. \tag{3.32}$$

From $\varphi_i(x_0) = 0$, we have

$$(|D'u|^2)_i = -|D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2 (h' u_i + g' \gamma^i). \tag{3.33}$$

Take the derivative again for φ_i,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{ij} =& \frac{(|D'u|^2)_{ij}}{|D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2} - (1 + \log |D'u|^2) \frac{(|D'u|^2)_i (|D'u|^2)_j}{(|D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2)^2} \\ &+ h' u_{ij} + h'' u_i u_j + g'' \gamma^i \gamma^j + g' (\gamma^i)_j. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Using (3.33), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{ij} =& \frac{(|D'u|^2)_{ij}}{|D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2} + h' u_{ij} + \big[h'' - (1 + \log |D'u|^2) h'^2\big] u_i u_j \\ &+ \big[g'' - (1 + \log |D'u|^2) g'^2\big] \gamma^i \gamma^j - (1 + \log |D'u|^2) h' g' (\gamma^i u_j + \gamma^j u_i) + g' (\gamma^i)_j. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Then we get

$$0 \geq \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a^{ij} \varphi_{ij} =: I_1 + I_2, \tag{3.36}$$

where

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{|D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2} \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a^{ij} (|D'u|^2)_{ij}, \tag{3.37}$$

and

$$I_2 = \sum_{1\le i,j\le n} a^{ij}\Big\{h' u_{ij} + \big[h'' - (1+\log|D'u|^2)h'^2\big]u_i u_j + \big[g'' - (1+\log|D'u|^2)g'^2\big]\gamma^i\gamma^j$$

$$- 2(1+\log|D'u|^2)h'g'\gamma^i u_j + g'(\gamma^i)_j\Big\}. \tag{3.38}$$

From the choice of the coordinate, we have

$$a^{11} = 1,\ a^{ii} = v^2 = 1 + u_1^2\ (2\le i\le n), a^{ij} = 0\ (i\ne j, 1\le i,j\le n). \tag{3.39}$$

and

$$|D'u|^2 = c^{11}u_1^2, \quad |D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2 = 2c^{11}u_1^2\log u_1 + c^{11}(\log c^{11})u_1^2. \tag{3.40}$$

Now we first treat I_2.

From the equations (2.5), (3.39) and (3.40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 =& h'fv^3 - h'^2u_1^2\log|D'u|^2 + (h''-h'^2)u_1^2 + \big[g'' - (1+\log|D'u|^2)g'^2\big]\sum_{1\le i\le n} a^{ii}(\gamma^i)^2 \\ & - 2(1+\log|D'u|^2)h'g'\gamma^1u_1 + g'\sum_{1\le i\le n} a^{ii}(\gamma^i)_i, \\ =& h'fv^3 - 2(h'^2 + c^{11}g'^2)u_1^2\log u_1 + \big[h'' - (1+\log c^{11})h'^2 - c^{11}(1+\log c^{11})g'^2 \\ & + c^{11}g'' + g'\sum_{2\le i\le n}(\gamma^i)_i\big]u_1^2 - 4h'g'\gamma^1u_1\log u_1 - 2(1+\log c^{11})h'g'\gamma^1u_1 \\ & - 2g'^2\log u_1 + g'' - (1+\log c^{11})g'^2 + g'\sum_{1\le i\le n}(\gamma^i)_i. \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

So we have

$$I_2 \ge \sqrt{n}\alpha_0 fv^3 - 2(n+c^{11})\alpha_0^2u_1^2\log u_1 - C_5u_1^2, \tag{3.42}$$

here we use the expression for $h(u), g(d)$ in (3.1), and C_5 is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, M_0, \mu_0, L_2$.

Next, we calculate I_1 and get the formula (3.56).

From (2.3), taking the first derivative of $|D'u|^2$, we have

$$(|D'u|^2)_i = \sum_{1\le k,l\le n}(c^{kl})_i u_k u_l + 2\sum_{1\le k,l\le n} c^{kl}u_{ki}u_l. \tag{3.43}$$

Taking the derivatives of $|D'u|^2$ once more, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(|D'u|^2)_{ij} =& \sum_{1\le k,l\le n} (c^{kl})_{ij} u_k u_l + 2\sum_{1\le k,l\le n} (c^{kl})_i u_{kj} u_l + 2\sum_{1\le k,l\le n} (c^{kl})_j u_{ki} u_l \\
&+ 2\sum_{1\le k,l\le n} c^{kl} u_{kij} u_l + 2\sum_{1\le k,l\le n} c^{kl} u_{ki} u_{lj}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.44}
$$

By (3.37) and (3.44), we can rewrite I_1 as

$$
I_1 = \frac{1}{|D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2} \big[I_{11} + I_{12} + I_{13} + I_{14} \big], \tag{3.45}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{11} =& u_1^2 \sum_{1\le i\le n} a^{ii} (c^{11})_{ii}, \quad I_{12} = 4u_1 \sum_{1\le i,k\le n} a^{ii} (c^{k1})_i u_{ki}, \\
I_{13} =& 2u_1 \sum_{1\le i,j,k\le n} c^{k1} a^{ij} u_{ijk}, \quad I_{14} = 2\sum_{1\le i,k,l\le n} c^{kl} a^{ii} u_{ki} u_{li}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following, we shall deal with I_{11}, I_{12}, I_{13} and I_{14} respectively.

For the terms I_{11} and I_{12}: from (3.39), we have

$$
I_{11} = \sum_{2\le i\le n} (c^{11})_{ii} u_1^4 + \sum_{1\le i\le n} (c^{11})_{ii} u_1^2, \tag{3.46}
$$

$$
I_{12} = 4(c^{11})_1 u_1 u_{11} + 4u_1 \sum_{2\le i\le n} [(c^{1i})_1 + v^2 (c^{11})_i] u_{1i} + 4u_1 v^2 \sum_{2\le i\le n} (c^{1i})_i u_{ii}. \tag{3.47}
$$

For the term I_{13}: by the equation (2.5), we have

$$
u_{11} = f v^3 - v^2 \sum_{2\le i\le n} u_{ii}, \tag{3.48}
$$

and

$$
\Delta u = f v + \frac{u_1^2}{v^2} u_{11}. \tag{3.49}
$$

Differentiating (2.5), we have

$$
\sum_{1\le i,j\le n} a^{ij} u_{ijk} = - \sum_{1\le i,j,l\le n} a^{ij}_{p_l} u_{lk} u_{ij} + v^3 D_k f + 3 f v^2 v_k. \tag{3.50}
$$

From (2.4), we have

$$
a^{ij}_{p_l} = 2u_l \delta_{ij} - \delta_{il} u_j - \delta_{jl} u_i. \tag{3.51}
$$

By the definition of v, we have

$$
v v_k = u_1 u_{1k}. \tag{3.52}
$$

Hence, from(3.49), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{1\le i,j\le n} a^{ij}u_{ijk} =& -2u_1u_{1k}\Delta u + 2u_1\sum_{1\le i\le n} u_{1i}u_{ik} + v^3D_kf + 3fvu_1u_{1k},\\ =&\frac{2u_1}{v^2}u_{11}u_{1k} + 2u_1\sum_{2\le i\le n} u_{1i}u_{ik} + v^3D_kf + fvu_1u_{1k}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

By (3.53), we get

$$\begin{aligned}I_{13} =&\frac{4u_1^2}{v^2}u_{11}\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{k1}u_{1k} + 4u_1^2\sum_{2\le i\le n} u_{1i}\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{k1}u_{ki} + 2fu_1^2v\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{k1}u_{1k}\\ &+ 2u_1v^3\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{k1}D_kf.\end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

For the term I_{14}:

$$\begin{aligned}I_{14} =&2u_{11}\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{k1}u_{k1} + 2v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n} u_{1i}\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{k1}u_{ki} + 2v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n} c^{1i}u_{1i}u_{ii}\\ &+ 2u_{11}\sum_{2\le i\le n} c^{1i}u_{1i} + 2\sum_{2\le i,j\le n} c^{ij}u_{1i}u_{1j} + 2v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n} c^{ii}u_{ii}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

Combining (3.46), (3.47), (3.54) and (3.55), it follows that

(3.56)

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 =&\frac{1}{|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2}\Bigg[(\frac{4u_1^2}{v^2}+2)u_{11}\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{k1}u_{k1} + (4u_1^2+2v^2)\sum_{2\le i\le n} u_{1i}\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{k1}u_{ki}\\ &+ 2v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n} c^{1i}u_{1i}u_{ii} + 2u_{11}\sum_{2\le i\le n} c^{1i}u_{1i} + 2\sum_{2\le i,j\le n} c^{ij}u_{1i}u_{1j} + 2fvu_1^2\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{k1}u_{1k}\\ &+ 4(c^{11})_1u_1u_{11} + 4u_1\sum_{2\le i\le n}[(c^{1i})_1 + v^2(c^{11})_i]u_{1i} + 2v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n} c^{ii}u_{ii}^2 + 4u_1v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{1i})_iu_{ii}\\ &+ 2u_1v^3\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{k1}D_kf + \sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{11})_{ii}u_1^4 + \sum_{1\le i\le n}(c^{11})_{ii}u_1^2\Bigg].\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (3.41) and (3.56) into (3.37), we can obtain the following formula

$$0 \ge \sum_{1\le i,j\le n} a^{ij}\varphi_{ij} =: Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3, \tag{3.57}$$

where Q_1 contains all the quadratic terms of u_{ij}; Q_2 is the term which contains all linear terms of u_{ij}; and the remaining terms are denoted by Q_3. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 =&\frac{1}{|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2}\big[(\frac{4u_1^2}{v^2}+2)u_{11}\sum_{1\le k\le n}c^{k1}u_{k1}+(4u_1^2+2v^2)\sum_{2\le i\le n}u_{1i}\sum_{1\le k\le n}c^{k1}u_{ki}\\ &+2v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{1i}u_{1i}u_{ii}+2u_{11}\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{1i}u_{1i}\\ &+2\sum_{2\le i,j\le n}c^{ij}u_{1i}u_{1j}+2v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{ii}u_{ii}^2\big]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

The linear terms of u_{ij} are

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 =&\frac{1}{|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2}\big[2fvu_1^2\sum_{1\le k\le n}c^{k1}u_{1k}+4(c^{11})_1u_1u_{11}+4u_1\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{1i})_1u_{1i}\\ &+4u_1v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{11})_iu_{1i}+4u_1v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{1i})_iu_{ii}\big], \end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

and the remaining terms are

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3 =&I_2+\frac{1}{|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2}\big[\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{11})_{ii}u_1^4+\sum_{1\le i\le n}(c^{11})_{ii}u_1^2+2u_1v^3\sum_{1\le k\le n}c^{k1}D_kf\big]\\ =&I_2+\frac{1}{|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2}\big[\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{11})_{ii}u_1^4+\sum_{1\le i\le n}(c^{11})_{ii}u_1^2+2c^{11}f_uu_1^2v^3\\ &+2u_1v^3\sum_{1\le k\le n}c^{k1}f_{x_k}\big]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$

From the estimate on I_2 in (3.42), we have

$$Q_3\ge\sqrt{n}\alpha_0fv^3-2(n+c^{11})\alpha_0^2u_1^2\log u_1-C_6u_1^2, \tag{3.61}$$

in the computation of Q_3, we use the relation $D_kf=f_uu_k+f_{x_k}$ and $f_u\ge0$, where C_6 is a positive constant which depends only on $n,\Omega,M_0,\mu_0,L_1,L_2$.

Step 2: In this step we shall treat the terms Q_1,Q_2 using the first order derivative condition

$$\varphi_i(x_0)=0,$$

and let

$$A=|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2. \tag{3.62}$$

By (3.33) and (3.43), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{k1}u_{ki} =& -\frac{h'}{2}\frac{u_i}{u_1}|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2 - \frac{g'\gamma^i}{2}\frac{|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2}{u_1} - \frac{(c^{11})_i}{2}u_1\\ =& -\frac{h'}{2}\frac{u_i}{u_1}A - \frac{g'\gamma^i}{2}\frac{A}{u_1} - \frac{(c^{11})_i}{2}u_1, \qquad i=1,2,\dots,n.\end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

Using (3.63), we get

$$\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{k1}u_{k1} = -\frac{h'}{2}A - \frac{g'\gamma^1}{2}\frac{A}{u_1} - \frac{(c^{11})_1}{2}u_1, \tag{3.64}$$

and

$$\sum_{1\le k\le n} c^{k1}u_{ki} = -\frac{g'\gamma^i}{2}\frac{A}{u_1} - \frac{(c^{11})_i}{2}u_1, \qquad i=2,3,\dots,n. \tag{3.65}$$

Through (3.65) and the choice of the coordinate at x_0, we have

$$u_{1i} = -\frac{c^{1i}}{c^{11}}u_{ii} - \frac{g'\gamma^i}{2c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1} - \frac{(c^{11})_i}{2c^{11}}u_1, \qquad i=2,3,\dots,n. \tag{3.66}$$

Using (3.64) and (3.66), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}u_{11} =& \sum_{2\le i\le n}\frac{(c^{1i})^2}{(c^{11})^2}u_{ii} - \frac{h'}{2c^{11}}A - \frac{g'\gamma^1}{c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1} + \frac{u_1}{2(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{1i}(c^{11})_i - \frac{(c^{11})_1}{2c^{11}}u_1\\ =& \sum_{2\le i\le n}\frac{(c^{1i})^2}{(c^{11})^2}u_{ii} - \frac{h'}{2c^{11}}A - \frac{g'\gamma^1}{c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1} + bu_1,\end{aligned} \tag{3.67}$$

where we have let

$$b = \frac{1}{2(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{1i}(c^{11})_i - \frac{(c^{11})_1}{2c^{11}}.$$

By (3.48) and (3.67), we have

$$\sum_{2\le i\le n}\big[(c^{11})^2v^2 + (c^{1i})^2\big]u_{ii} = (c^{11})^2fv^3 + \frac{c^{11}h'}{2}A + c^{11}g'\gamma^1\frac{A}{u_1} - (c^{11})^2bu_1. \tag{3.68}$$

Now we use the formulas (3.64)-(3.67) to treat each term in Q_1, Q_2. At first, we treat the first five terms of Q_1 in (3.58), and get (3.69)-(3.73).

By (3.64) and (3.67), we have

(3.69)
$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\frac{4u_1^2}{v^2}+2)u_{11}\sum_{1\le k\le n}c^{k1}u_{k1}\\
=&(\frac{4u_1^2}{v^2}+2)\big[\sum_{2\le i\le n}\frac{(c^{1i})^2}{(c^{11})^2}u_{ii}-\frac{h'}{2c^{11}}A-\frac{g'\gamma^1}{c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1}+bu_1\big]\big[-\frac{h'}{2}A-\frac{g'\gamma^1}{2}\frac{A}{u_1}-\frac{(c^{11})_1}{2}u_1\big]\\
=&-(\frac{2u_1^2}{v^2}+1)\big[h'A+g'\gamma^1\frac{A}{u_1}+(c^{11})_1u_1\big]\sum_{2\le i\le n}\frac{(c^{1i})^2}{(c^{11})^2}u_{ii}+(\frac{u_1^2}{v^2}+\frac{1}{2})\frac{h'^2}{c^{11}}A^2\\
&+(\frac{u_1^2}{v^2}+\frac{1}{2})\frac{3h'g'\gamma^1}{c^{11}}\frac{A^2}{u_1}+(\frac{u_1^2}{v^2}+\frac{1}{2})[\frac{(c^{11})_1}{c^{11}}-2b]h'u_1A+(\frac{2u_1^2}{v^2}+1)\frac{g'^2(\gamma^1)^2}{c^{11}}\frac{A^2}{u_1^2}\\
&+(\frac{2u_1^2}{v^2}+1)g'\gamma^1[\frac{(c^{11})_1}{c^{11}}-b]A-(\frac{2u_1^2}{v^2}+1)(c^{11})_1bu_1^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.65) and (3.66), we get

(3.70)
$$
\begin{aligned}
&(4u_1^2+2v^2)\sum_{2\le i\le n}u_{1i}\sum_{1\le k\le n}c^{k1}u_{ki}\\
=&(4u_1^2+2v^2)\sum_{2\le i\le n}\big[-\frac{c^{1i}}{c^{11}}u_{ii}-\frac{g'\gamma^i}{2c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1}-\frac{(c^{11})_i}{2c^{11}}u_1\big]\big[-\frac{g'\gamma^i}{2}\frac{A}{u_1}-\frac{(c^{11})_i}{2}u_1\big]\\
=&(2u_1^2+v^2)\frac{A}{u_1}\frac{g'}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{1i}\gamma^iu_{ii}+(2u_1^2+v^2)u_1\sum_{2\le i\le n}\frac{c^{1i}}{c^{11}}(c^{11})_iu_{ii}+\frac{3g'^2}{2}A^2\\
&+\frac{g'}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{11})_i\gamma^i(2u_1^2+v^2)A+\frac{(2u_1^2+v^2)u_1^2}{2c^{11}}\sum_{2\le i\le n}((c^{11})_i)^2+\frac{g'^2}{2}\frac{A^2}{u_1^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.66) , we have

(3.71)
$$
\begin{aligned}
&2v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{1i}u_{1i}u_{ii}\\
=&2v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{1i}u_{ii}\big[-\frac{c^{1i}}{c^{11}}u_{ii}-\frac{g'\gamma^i}{2c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1}-\frac{(c^{11})_i}{2c^{11}}u_1\big]\\
=&-\frac{2v^2}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{1i}u_{ii})^2-\frac{g'}{c^{11}}\frac{v^2A}{u_1}\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{1i}\gamma^iu_{ii}-\frac{u_1v^2}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{1i}(c^{11})_iu_{ii}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.66) and (3.67), it follows that

(3.72)
$$\begin{aligned}
&2u_{11}\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{1i}u_{1i}\\
=&2\big[\sum_{2\le j\le n}\frac{(c^{1j})^2}{(c^{11})^2}u_{jj}-\frac{h'}{2c^{11}}A-\frac{g'\gamma^1}{c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1}+bu_1\big]\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{1i}\big[-\frac{c^{1i}}{c^{11}}u_{ii}-\frac{g'\gamma^i}{2c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1}-\frac{(c^{11})_i}{2c^{11}}u_1\big]\\
=&-\frac{2}{(c^{11})^3}[\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{1i})^2u_{ii}]^2+\big[h'A+3g'\gamma^1\frac{A}{u_1}-4c^{11}bu_1-(c^{11})_1u_1\big]\sum_{2\le i\le n}\frac{(c^{1i})^2}{(c^{11})^2}u_{ii}\\
&-\frac{h'g'\gamma^1}{2c^{11}}\frac{A^2}{u_1}+\frac{h'}{2(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{1i}(c^{11})_iAu_1-\frac{g'^2(\gamma^1)^2}{c^{11}}\frac{A^2}{u_1^2}+g'\gamma^1\big[3b+\frac{(c^{11})_1}{c^{11}}\big]A\\
&-b[2bc^{11}+(c^{11})_1]u_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Again by (3.66) and (2.2), we get

(3.73)
$$\begin{aligned}
&2\sum_{2\le i,j\le n}c^{ij}u_{1i}u_{1j}\\
=&2\sum_{2\le i,j\le n}c^{ij}\big[-\frac{c^{1i}}{c^{11}}u_{ii}-\frac{g'\gamma^i}{2c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1}-\frac{(c^{11})_i}{2c^{11}}u_1\big]\big[-\frac{c^{1j}}{c^{11}}u_{jj}-\frac{g'\gamma^j}{2c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1}-\frac{(c^{11})_j}{2c^{11}}u_1\big]\\
=&\frac{2}{(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le i,j\le n}c^{ij}c^{1i}c^{1j}u_{ii}u_{jj}-\big[\frac{2g'(\gamma^1)^3}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{A}{u_1}-\frac{2\gamma^1u_1}{(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le j\le n}\gamma^j(c^{11})_j\big]\sum_{2\le i\le n}(\gamma^i)^2u_{ii}\\
&+\frac{2u_1}{(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le i\le n}c^{1i}(c^{11})_iu_{ii}+\frac{(1-c^{11})g'^2}{2c^{11}}\frac{A^2}{u_1^2}+\frac{(1-c^{11})g'A}{(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le i\le n}\gamma^i(c^{11})_i\\
&+\frac{1}{2(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le i,j\le n}c^{ij}(c^{11})_i(c^{11})_ju_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we treat the first four terms of Q_2 in (3.59), and get (3.74)-(3.77).

From (3.64), we have

(3.74)
$$\begin{aligned}
2fvu_1^2\sum_{1\le k\le n}c^{k1}u_{1k}=&2fvu_1^2\big[-\frac{h'}{2}A-\frac{g'\gamma^1}{2}\frac{A}{u_1}-\frac{(c^{11})_1}{2}u_1\big]\\
=&-h'fAvu_1^2-fg'\gamma^1Avu_1-(c^{11})_1fvu_1^3.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.67), we obtain

(3.75)
$$\begin{aligned}4(c^{11})_1u_1u_{11} =&4(c^{11})_1u_1\big[\sum_{2\le i\le n}\frac{(c^{1i})^2}{(c^{11})^2}u_{ii}-\frac{h'}{2c^{11}}A-\frac{g'\gamma^1}{c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1}+bu_1\big]\\ =&4(c^{11})_1u_1\sum_{2\le i\le n}\frac{(c^{1i})^2}{(c^{11})^2}u_{ii}-\frac{2(c^{11})_1}{c^{11}}h'Au_1-\frac{4g'\gamma^1}{c^{11}}(c^{11})_1A+4(c^{11})_1bu_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

From (3.66), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&4u_1\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{1i})_1u_{1i}\\ =&4u_1\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{1i})_1\big[-\frac{c^{1i}}{c^{11}}u_{ii}-\frac{g'\gamma^i}{2c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1}-\frac{(c^{11})_i}{2c^{11}}u_1\big]\\ =&-\frac{4u_1}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{1i})_1c^{1i}u_{ii}-\frac{2g'}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{1i})_1\gamma^iA-\frac{2}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{1i})_1(c^{11})_iu_1^2,\end{aligned}\tag{3.76}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&4u_1v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{11})_iu_{1i}\\ =&4u_1v^2\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{11})_i\big[-\frac{c^{1i}}{c^{11}}u_{ii}-\frac{g'\gamma^i}{2c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1}-\frac{(c^{11})_i}{2c^{11}}u_1\big]\\ =&-\frac{4u_1v^2}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{11})_ic^{1i}u_{ii}-\frac{2g'}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{11})_i\gamma^iAv^2-\frac{2}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\le i\le n}((c^{11})_i)^2u_1^2v^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.77}$$

We treat the term Q_1 using the relations (3.69)-(3.73), and use the formulas (3.74)-(3.77) to treat the term Q_2. By the formula on Q_3 in (3.60), we can get the following new formula of (3.57),

$$0\ge\sum_{1\le i,j\le n}a^{ij}\varphi_{ij}=:J_1+J_2,\tag{3.78}$$

where J_1 only contains the terms with u_{ii} , the other terms belong to J_2. We can write

$$J_1=:\frac{1}{A}\big[J_{11}+J_{12}\big],\tag{3.79}$$

here J_{11} contains the quadratic terms of $u_{ii}\,(i\geq 2)$, and J_{12} is the term including linear terms of $u_{ii}\,(i\geq 2)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{11} =&2v^2\sum_{2\leq i\leq n} c^{ii}u_{ii}^2-\frac{2v^2}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\leq i\leq n}(c^{1i}u_{ii})^2-\frac{2}{(c^{11})^3}[\sum_{2\leq i\leq n}(c^{1i})^2u_{ii}]^2\\ &+\frac{2}{(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\leq i,j\leq n} c^{ij}c^{1i}c^{1j}u_{ii}u_{jj}\\ =&\frac{2}{(c^{11})^3}\big[\sum_{2\leq i\leq n} d_ie_iu_{ii}^2+2\sum_{2\leq i<j\leq n} c^{ij}c^{1i}c^{1j}u_{ii}u_{jj}\big], \end{aligned} \tag{3.80}$$

where

$$d_i =(c^{11})^2v^2+(c^{1i})^2=(c^{11})^2u_1^2+(c^{11})^2+(c^{1i})^2,\quad i=2,3,\dots,n, \tag{3.81}$$

$$e_i =c^{11}c^{ii}-(c^{1i})^2=1-(\gamma^1)^2-(\gamma^i)^2,\quad i=2,3,\dots,n. \tag{3.82}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} J_{12} =&\big[-\frac{2g'\gamma^1}{c^{11}}Au_1-\frac{2(\gamma^1)^2h'}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{Au_1^2}{v^2}-\frac{2g'(\gamma^1)^3}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{A}{u_1}+\frac{2\gamma^1}{(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\leq j\leq n}c^{1j}(c^{11})_ju_1\\ &-\frac{4b(\gamma^1)^2}{c^{11}}u_1+\frac{2g'(\gamma^1)^3}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{A}{v^2u_1}+\frac{2(\gamma^1)^2(c^{11})_1}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{u_1}{v^2}\big]\sum_{2\leq i\leq n}(\gamma^i)^2u_{ii}\\ &+4u_1v^2\sum_{2\leq i\leq n}(c^{1i})_iu_{ii}-\frac{4u_1}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\leq i\leq n}c^{1i}(c^{1i})_1u_{ii}\\ &-\big[\frac{2u_1^3}{c^{11}}+\frac{4c^{11}-2}{(c^{11})^2}u_1\big]\sum_{2\leq i\leq n}c^{1i}(c^{11})_iu_{ii}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.83}$$

We write other terms as J_2, then

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 =&Q_3-h'fvu_1^2+(\frac{u_1^2}{v^2}+\frac{1}{2})\frac{h'^2}{c^{11}}A+\frac{3g'^2}{2}A-fg'\gamma^1vu_1+\frac{g'}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\leq i\leq n}(c^{11})_i\gamma^i(u_1^2-1)\\ &-\frac{1}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\leq i\leq n}((c^{11})_i)^2\frac{(v^2+2)u_1^2}{A}-(c^{11})_1f\frac{vu_1^3}{A}+(\frac{3u_1^2}{v^2}+1)\frac{h'g'\gamma^1}{c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1}-\frac{2(c^{11})_1}{c^{11}}h'u_1\\ &+(\frac{u_1^2}{v^2}+\frac{1}{2})[\frac{(c^{11})_1}{c^{11}}-2b]h'u_1+\frac{h'}{2(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\leq i\leq n}c^{1i}(c^{11})_iu_1+\frac{g'^2}{2}\frac{A}{u_1^2}-\frac{g'^2(\gamma^1)^2}{c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1^2}\\ &+(\frac{2u_1^2}{v^2}+1)\frac{g'^2(\gamma^1)^2}{c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1^2}+\frac{(1-c^{11})g'^2}{2c^{11}}\frac{A}{u_1^2}+g'\gamma^1\big[3b+\frac{(c^{11})_1}{c^{11}}\big]-\frac{2g'}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\leq i\leq n}(c^{1i})_1\gamma^i \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{(1-c^{11})g'}{(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le i\le n}\gamma^i(c^{11})_i+(\frac{2u_1^2}{v^2}+1)g'\gamma^1[\frac{(c^{11})_1}{c^{11}}-b]-(\frac{2u_1^2}{v^2}+1)(c^{11})_1b\frac{u_1^2}{A}\\
&-b[2bc^{11}+(c^{11})_1]\frac{u_1^2}{A}+\frac{1}{2(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le i,j\le n}c^{ij}(c^{11})_i(c^{11})_j\frac{u_1^2}{A}-\frac{4g'\gamma^1}{c^{11}}(c^{11})_1\\
&+4(c^{11})_1b\frac{u_1^2}{A}-\frac{2}{c^{11}}\sum_{2\le i\le n}(c^{1i})_1(c^{11})_i\frac{u_1^2}{A}. \qquad (3.84)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the formula on Q_3 in (3.61) and I_2 in (3.42), we get the following estimate on J_2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_2\ge&-2(h'^2+c^{11}g'^2)u_1^2\log u_1+h'fv+\frac{3}{2}\frac{h'^2}{c^{11}}A+\frac{3g'^2}{2}A-C_7u_1^2\\
\ge&[h'^2+c^{11}g'^2]u_1^2\log u_1-C_8u_1^2. \qquad (3.85)
\end{aligned}
$$

So if we use $h(u), g(d)$ in (3.1), then we have

$$
J_2\ge(n+c^{11})\alpha_0^2u_1^2\log u_1-C_8u_1^2, \qquad (3.86)
$$

where C_7, C_8 and the following $C_9, ..., C_{15}$ are positive constants which only depend on $n, \Omega, \mu_0, M_0, L_1, L_2$.

Step 3: In this step, we concentrate on J_1. We first treat the terms J_{11} and J_{12} and obtain the formula (3.93), then we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 through Lemma 4.3. By (3.68), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{22}=&-\frac{1}{d_2}\sum_{3\le i\le n}d_iu_{ii}+\frac{1}{d_2}\big[(c^{11})^2fv^3+\frac{c^{11}h'}{2}A+c^{11}g'\gamma^1\frac{A}{u_1}-(c^{11})^2bu_1\big]\\
=:&-\frac{1}{d_2}\sum_{3\le i\le n}d_iu_{ii}+\frac{D}{d_2}, \qquad (3.87)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have let

$$
D=(c^{11})^2fv^3+\frac{c^{11}h'}{2}A+c^{11}g'\gamma^1\frac{A}{u_1}-(c^{11})^2bu_1. \qquad (3.88)
$$

We first treat the term J_{11}: using (3.88) to simplify (3.80), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{11}=&\frac{2}{(c^{11})^3d_2}\big[\sum_{3\le i\le n}b_{ii}u_{ii}^2+2\sum_{3\le i<j\le n}b_{ij}u_{ii}u_{jj}-2e_2D\sum_{3\le i\le n}d_iu_{ii}\\
&-2(\gamma^2)^2D\sum_{3\le i\le n}(c^{1i})^2u_{ii}+e_2D^2\big], \qquad (3.89)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{ii}=&e_2d_i^2+e_id_id_2-2c^{12}c^{2i}c^{1i}d_i=(c^{11})^4(e_2+e_i)v^4+A_{1i}v^2+A_{2i}, \quad i\ge3\\
b_{ij}=&e_2d_id_j+d_2c^{ij}c^{1i}c^{1j}-c^{12}c^{1i}c^{2i}d_j-c^{12}c^{1j}c^{2j}d_i \qquad (3.90)\\
=&(c^{11})^4e_2v^4+G_{ij}v^2+\hat{G}_{ij}, \qquad i\ne j,\ i,j\ge3,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1i} =&(c^{11})^2\big[(c^{1i})^2(e_2+e_i)+c^{11}\big((c^{1i})^2+(c^{12})^2\big)\big],\\
A_{2i} =&c^{11}(c^{1i})^2\big[(c^{1i})^2+(c^{12})^2\big],\\
G_{ij} =&c^{11}\big((c^{1i})^2+(c^{1j})^2\big)+c^{ij}c^{1i}c^{1j},\\
\hat{G}_{ij} =&c^{11}(c^{1i})^2(c^{1j})^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.91}
$$

Now we simplify the terms in J_{12}: by (3.87), we can rewrite (3.83) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{12} =&\big[-\frac{2g'\gamma^1}{c^{11}}Au_1-\frac{2(\gamma^1)^2h'}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{Au_1^2}{v^2}-\frac{2g'(\gamma^1)^3}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{A}{u_1}+\frac{2\gamma^1}{(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le j\le n}c^{1j}(c^{11})_ju_1\\
&-\frac{4b(\gamma^1)^2}{c^{11}}u_1+\frac{2g'(\gamma^1)^3}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{A}{v^2u_1}+\frac{2(\gamma^1)^2(c^{11})_1}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{u_1}{v^2}\big]\sum_{3\le i\le n}[(\gamma^i)^2-\frac{d_i}{d_2}(\gamma^2)^2]u_{ii}\\
&+4u_1v^2\sum_{3\le i\le n}[(c^{1i})_i-\frac{d_i}{d_2}(c^{12})_2]u_{ii}-\frac{4u_1}{c^{11}}\sum_{3\le i\le n}[c^{1i}(c^{1i})_1-\frac{d_i}{d_2}c^{12}(c^{12})_1]u_{ii}\\
&-\big[\frac{2u_1^3}{c^{11}}+\frac{4c^{11}-2}{(c^{11})^2}u_1\big]\sum_{3\le i\le n}[c^{1i}(c^{11})_i-\frac{d_i}{d_2}c^{12}(c^{11})_2]u_{ii}\\
&+\big[-\frac{2g'\gamma^1}{c^{11}}Au_1-\frac{2(\gamma^1)^2h'}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{Au_1^2}{v^2}-\frac{2g'(\gamma^1)^3}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{A}{u_1}+\frac{2\gamma^1}{(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le j\le n}c^{1j}(c^{11})_ju_1\\
&-\frac{4b(\gamma^1)^2}{c^{11}}u_1+\frac{2g'(\gamma^1)^3}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{A}{v^2u_1}+\frac{2(\gamma^1)^2(c^{11})_1}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{u_1}{v^2}\big]\frac{(\gamma^2)^2D}{d_2}\\
&+4(c^{12})_2\frac{u_1v^2D}{d_2}-4c^{12}(c^{12})_1\frac{u_1D}{c^{11}d_2}-\big[\frac{2u_1^3}{c^{11}}+c^{12}(c^{11})_2\frac{4c^{11}-2}{(c^{11})^2}u_1\big]\frac{D}{d_2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.92}
$$

Using (3.89) and (3.92) to treat (3.79), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_1 =&\frac{2}{Ad_2(c^{11})^3}\big[\sum_{3\le i\le n}b_{ii}u_{ii}^2+2\sum_{3\le i<j\le n}b_{ij}u_{ii}u_{jj}-u_1^5\log u_1\sum_{3\le i\le n}b_iu_{ii}\\
&+\sum_{3\le i\le n}K_iu_{ii}\big]+R,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.93}
$$

where

$$
b_i =2(c^{11})^5g'\gamma^1(e_2-e_i),
\tag{3.94}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_i =& -2e_2Dd_i - 2(\gamma^2)^2D(c^{1i})^2 - (c^{11})^3(\log c^{11})g'\gamma^1u_1^5(e_2-e_i) - (c^{11})^4g'\gamma^1Au_1(e_2-e_i)\\
&+\frac{(c^{11})^3}{2}d_2\big[-\frac{2(\gamma^1)^2h'}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{Au_1^2}{v^2} - \frac{2g'(\gamma^1)^3}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{A}{u_1} + \frac{2\gamma^1}{(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le j\le n}c^{1j}(c^{11})_ju_1\\
&-\frac{4b(\gamma^1)^2}{c^{11}}u_1 + \frac{2g'(\gamma^1)^3}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{A}{v^2u_1} + \frac{2(\gamma^1)^2(c^{11})_1}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{u_1}{v^2}\big][(\gamma^i)^2 - \frac{d_i}{d_2}(\gamma^2)^2]\\
&+4u_1v^2\frac{(c^{11})^3}{2}d_2\sum_{3\le i\le n}[(c^{1i})_i - \frac{d_i}{d_2}(c^{12})_2] - \frac{4u_1}{c^{11}}\frac{(c^{11})^3}{2}d_2[c^{1i}(c^{1i})_1 - \frac{d_i}{d_2}c^{12}(c^{12})_1]\\
&-\frac{(c^{11})^3}{2}d_2\big[\frac{2u_1^3}{c^{11}} + \frac{4c^{11}-2}{(c^{11})^2}u_1\big][c^{1i}(c^{11})_i - \frac{d_i}{d_2}c^{12}(c^{11})_2]
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.95}
$$

we also have let

$$
\begin{aligned}
R =& \frac{2e_2D^2}{(c^{11})^3d_2A} + \big[-\frac{2g'\gamma^1}{c^{11}}u_1 - \frac{2(\gamma^1)^2h'}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{u_1^2}{v^2} - \frac{2g'(\gamma^1)^3}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{1}{u_1} + \frac{2\gamma^1}{(c^{11})^2}\sum_{2\le j\le n}c^{1j}(c^{11})_j\frac{u_1}{A}\\
&-\frac{4b(\gamma^1)^2}{c^{11}}\frac{u_1}{A} + \frac{2g'(\gamma^1)^3}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{1}{v^2u_1} + \frac{2(\gamma^1)^2(c^{11})_1}{(c^{11})^2}\frac{u_1}{v^2A}\big]\frac{(\gamma^2)^2D}{d_2}\\
&+4(c^{12})_2\frac{u_1v^2D}{Ad_2} - 4c^{12}(c^{12})_1\frac{u_1D}{c^{11}Ad_2} - \big[\frac{2u_1^3}{c^{11}} + c^{12}(c^{11})_2\frac{4c^{11}-2}{(c^{11})^2}u_1\big]\frac{D}{Ad_2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

For K_i and R, using the formulas on D in (3.88); the formula of A in (3.62); $e_i; d_i$ in (3.81)-(3.82), and $h(u), g(d)$ in (3.1), we have the following estimates

$$K_i \le C_9u_1^5, \tag{3.96}$$

$$R \le C_{10}u_1^2. \tag{3.97}$$

Now we use Lemma 4.3, if there is a sufficiently large positive constant C_{11} such that

$$|Du|(x_0) \ge C_{11}, \tag{3.98}$$

then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_1 \ge& \frac{2}{Ad_2(c^{11})^3}[-(n-2)(c^{11})^7g'^2(\gamma^1)^2u_1^6\log^2u_1 - C_{12}u_1^6\log u_1] - C_{10}u_1^2,\\
\ge& -(n-2)c^{11}(1-c^{11})g'^2u_1^2\log u_1 - C_{13}u_1^2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.99}
$$

where we use the formulas $(\gamma^1)^2 = 1-c^{11}$, d_2 in (3.81) and A in (3.62).

Using the estimates on J_1 in (3.99) and J_2 in (3.85), from (3.78) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \ge& \sum_{1\le i,j\le n}a^{ij}\varphi_{ij}\\
\ge& \big\{h'^2 + [(c^{11})^2(n-2) - c^{11}(n-3)]g'^2\big\}u_1^2\log u_1 - C_{14}u_1^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.100}
$$

By the choice of $h(u), g(d)$ in (3.1), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq & \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij}\varphi_{ij} \\ \geq & \big\{n + [(c^{11})^2(n-2) - c^{11}(n-3)]\alpha_0^2\big\}u_1^2 \log u_1 - C_{14}u_1^2 \\ \geq & 3u_1^2 \log u_1 - C_{14}u_1^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.101}$$

By (3.31), (3.98) and (3.101), there exists a positive constant C_{15} such that

$$|D'u|(x_0) \leq C_{15}. \tag{3.102}$$

So from Case I, Case II, and (3.102), we have

$$|D'u|(x_0) \leq C_{16}, \qquad x_0 \in \Omega_{\mu_0} \bigcup \partial\Omega.$$

Since $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(x_0)$, $\quad$ for $\forall x \in \Omega_{\mu_0}$, there exists M_2 such that

$$|Du|(x) \leq M_2, \quad in \quad \Omega_{\mu_0} \bigcup \partial\Omega, \tag{3.103}$$

where M_2 depends only on $n, \Omega, \mu_0, M_0, L_1, L_2$.

So at last we get the following estimate

$$\sup_{\overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0}} |Du| \leq \max\{M_1, M_2\},$$

where the positive constant M_1 depends only on n, μ_0, M_0, L_1; and M_2 depends only on $n, \Omega, \mu_0, M_0, L_1, L_2$. Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

4. Some Lemmas

In this section, we prove the main Lemma 4.3 and get the main estimate (3.99), which was used in last section to estimate J_1 defined in (3.93).

We first state a simple lemma on elementary symmetric function.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume $e = (e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_n)$, then for $i \geq 3$, we have*

$$\sigma_{n-3}(e|i)(e_2 - e_i) - \sum_{k\neq i, k\geq 3} \sigma_{n-3}(e|ik)(e_2 - e_k) = (n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i) - \sigma_{n-2}(e). \tag{4.1}$$

Proof: When $i \geq 3$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{n-3}(e|i)(e_2 - e_i) - \sum_{k\neq i, k\geq 3} \sigma_{n-3}(e|ik)(e_2 - e_k) \\ =& e_2\sigma_{n-3}(e|i) - e_i\sigma_{n-3}(e|i) - e_2 \sum_{i\neq k, k\geq 3} \sigma_{n-3}(e|ik) + \sum_{k\neq i, k\geq 3} e_k\sigma_{n-3}(e|ik) \\ =& (n-2)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i) - e_i\sigma_{n-3}(e|i) \\ =& (n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i) - \sigma_{n-2}(e). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $a_i = (\gamma^i)^2, \gamma = (\gamma^1, \gamma^2, ..., \gamma^n)$ *is a unit vector in* R^n, $a = (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n)$, *and* $e = (e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n)$, $e_i = \sigma_1(a|i)$, $i \geq 2$. *Then the matrix* $E = (E_{ij})_{3\leq i,j\leq n}$ *is positive definite, where* $E_{ij} = e_2 + e_i\delta_{ij}$.

Proof: We only need to prove that the following determination is positive.

$$\begin{aligned}\det E =&\sigma_{n-2}(e) = \sigma_{n-2}\big(\sigma_1(a|2), \sigma_1(a|3), \dots, \sigma_1(a|n)\big)\\ =&\sum_{2\leq i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_{n-2}\leq n-2}\big(\sigma_1(a)-a_{i_1}\big)\big(\sigma_1(a)-a_{i_2}\big)\cdots\big(\sigma_1(a)-a_{i_{n-2}}\big)\\ =&\sum_{0\leq k\leq n-2}(-1)^k(n-k-1)[\sigma_1(a)]^{n-2-k}\sigma_k(a) \qquad (4.2)\\ =&[\sigma_1(a)]^{n-2}+\sum_{2\leq k\leq n-2}(-1)^k(n-k-1)[\sigma_1(a)]^{n-2-k}\sigma_k(a),\end{aligned}$$

Now we divide the following two cases, using the Newton-MacLaurin inequality, then we get our conclusion.

Case 1: if $n = odd$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{2\leq k\leq n-2}(-1)^k(n-1-k)[\sigma_1(a)]^{n-2-k}\sigma_k(a)\\ =&\sum_{2\leq k\leq n-3,k=even}[k(\sigma_1(a))^{k-1}\sigma_{n-1-k}(a)-(k-1)(\sigma_1(a))^{k-2}\sigma_{n-k}(a)]\\ =&\sum_{2\leq k\leq n-3,k=even}[\sigma_1(a)]^{k-2}[k\sigma_1(a)\sigma_{n-1-k}(a)-(k-1)\sigma_{n-k}(a)] \qquad (4.3)\\ \geq&\sum_{2\leq k\leq n-3,k=even}[\sigma_1(a)]^{k-2}[(n-1)(n-k)-(k-1)]\sigma_{n-k}(a)\\ \geq&0.\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: if $n = even$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{2\leq k\leq n-2}(-1)^k(n-1-k)[\sigma_1(a)]^{n-2-k}\sigma_k(a)\\ =&\sum_{3\leq k\leq n-3,k=odd}[k(\sigma_1(a))^{k-1}\sigma_{n-1-k}(a)-(k-1)(\sigma_1(a))^{k-2}\sigma_{n-k}(a)]+\sigma_{n-2}(a)\\ \geq&\sum_{3\leq k\leq n-3,k=odd}[\sigma_1(a)]^{k-2}[k\sigma_1(a)\sigma_{n-1-k}(a)-(k-1)\sigma_{n-k}(a)]+\sigma_{n-2}(a) \qquad (4.4)\\ \geq&\sum_{3\leq k\leq n-3,k=odd}[\sigma_1(a)]^{k-2}[(n-1)(n-k)-(k-1)]\sigma_{n-k}(a)+\sigma_{n-2}(a)\\ \geq&0.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_1(a) = \sum_{2\leq i\leq n} a_i = c^{11} > 0$, it follows that

$$\det E = \sigma_{n-2}(e) \geq [\sigma_1(a)]^{n-2} > 0. \qquad (4.5)$$

then the matrix E is positive definite. □

Now we prove the main lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *We define (b_{ij}) as in (3.90), d_i, e_i defined as in (3.81)-(3.82), $A_{1i}, A_{2i}, G_{ij}, \hat{G}_{ij}$ defined as in (3.91). And we define b_i as in (3.94), $v^2 = 1 + u_1^2$ and $c^{11} \geq \frac{1}{C_4}$. We study the following quadratic form*

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x_3, x_4, \ldots, x_n) = &\sum_{3\leq i\leq n} b_{ii}x_i^2 + 2\sum_{3\leq i<j\leq n} b_{ij}x_ix_j - u_1^5\log u_1 \sum_{3\leq i\leq n} b_ix_i \\ &+ \sum_{3\leq i\leq n} K_ix_i, \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where K_i defined in (3.95) and we have the estimate (3.96) for K_i. Then there exists a sufficiently large positive constant C_{16} which depends only on $n, \Omega, \mu_0, M_0, L_1, L_2$, such that if

$$|Du|(x_0) = u_1(x_0) \geq C_{16}, \tag{4.7}$$

then the followings hold.

(I): The matrix (b_{ij}) is positive definite if and only only if the matrix $(b_{ij}^1) = (E_{ij}) = [e_2 + e_i\delta_{ij}]$ is positive definite.

(II): We have

$$Q(x_3, x_4, \ldots, x_n) \geq -(n-2)(c^{11})^7 g'^2 (\gamma^1)^2 u_1^6 \log^2 u_1 - C_{17}u_1^6 \log u_1, \tag{4.8}$$

where positive constant C_{17} also depends only on $n, \Omega, \mu_0, M_0, L_1, L_2$.

Proof: Let

$$B = (b_{ij}) = B_1 + B_2, B_1 = ((c^{11})^4 u_1^4 b_{ij}^1), B_2 = (O(u_1^2)\delta_{ij}),$$

We first prove (I):

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k(B) =&\sigma_k(B_1 + B_2) \\ =&\sigma_k(B_1) + \sigma_k(B_1, B_1, \ldots, B_1, B_2) \\ &+ \cdots + \sigma_k(B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_2, B_2) + \sigma_k(B_2) \\ =&(c^{11})^{4k} u_1^{4k}\sigma_k(b_{ij}^1) + O(u_1^{4k-2}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

so if u_1 is sufficiently large, then $\sigma_k(B) > 0 \Longleftrightarrow \sigma_k(b_{ij}^1) > 0$.

Now we prove (II): If $B_1 = ((c^{11})^4 u_1^4 b_{ij}^1)_{3\leq i,j\leq n}$ is positive definite, from the argument in (I), we get

$$B^{-1} = (B_1 + B_2)^{-1} = B_1^{-1}(I + B_1^{-1}B_2)^{-1} = \frac{1}{(c^{11})^4 u_1^4}(b_{ij}^1)^{-1}\big(1 + o(1)\big). \tag{4.10}$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(b^1_{ij})^{-1} =& \begin{pmatrix} e_2+e_3 & e_2 & \cdots & e_2 \\ e_2 & e_2+e_4 & \cdots & e_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ e_2 & e_2 & \cdots & e_2+e_n \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\
=& \frac{1}{\sigma_{n-2}(e)} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{n-3}(e|3) & -\sigma_{n-3}(e|34) & \cdots & -\sigma_{n-3}(e|3n) \\ -\sigma_{n-3}(e|43) & \sigma_{n-3}(e|4) & \cdots & -\sigma_{n-3}(e|4n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -\sigma_{n-3}(e|n3) & -\sigma_{n-3}(e|n4) & \cdots & \sigma_{n-3}(e|n) \end{pmatrix} \\
=:& \frac{1}{\sigma_{n-2}(e)} \tilde{B}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}
$$

where $e = (e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_n)$.

Now we solve the following linear algebra equation

$$
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_k} = 0, \quad k = 3, 4, \ldots, n. \tag{4.12}
$$

We assume $(\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_4, \ldots, \bar{x}_n)$ is the extreme point of the quadratic form $Q(x_3, x_4, \ldots, x_n)$. From the definition of b_{ij}, b_i, K_i in (3.90), (3.94), (3.95) and the estimate for K_i in (3.96), using the formulas (4.10) and (4.11), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}_3 \\ \bar{x}_4 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{x}_n \end{pmatrix} =& \frac{1}{2} u_1^5 \log u_1 B^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_3 \\ b_4 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} + O(u_1^5) B^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
=& \frac{1}{2} u_1^5 \log u_1 B_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_3 \\ b_4 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} + O(u_1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
=& \frac{c^{11} g' \gamma^1 u_1 \log u_1}{\sigma_{n-2}(e)} \tilde{B} \begin{pmatrix} e_2 - e_3 \\ e_2 - e_4 \\ \vdots \\ e_2 - e_n \end{pmatrix} + O(u_1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}
$$

From Lemma 4.1, we have for $i = 3, 4, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x}_i =& \frac{c^{11} g' \gamma^1 u_1 \log u_1}{\sigma_{n-2}(e)} \big[\sigma_{n-3}(e|i)(e_2 - e_i) - \sum_{k \neq i, k \geq 3} \sigma_{n-3}(e|ik)(e_2 - e_k) \big] + O(u_1) \\
=& \frac{c^{11} g' \gamma^1 u_1 \log u_1}{\sigma_{n-2}(e)} \big[(n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i) - \sigma_{n-2}(e) \big] + O(u_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}
$$

It follows that we have the following minimum of the quadratic Q,

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q(\bar{x}_3,\bar{x}_4,\dots,\bar{x}_n)\\
=&\frac{(c^{11})^6 g'^2(\gamma^1)^2 u_1^6\log^2 u_1}{\sigma_{n-2}^2(e)}\Big\{\sum_{3\le i\le n}(e_2+e_i)\big[(n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i)-\sigma_{n-2}(e)\big]^2\\
&+2e_2\sum_{3\le i<j\le n}\big[(n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i)-\sigma_{n-2}(e)\big]\big[(n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|j)-\sigma_{n-2}(e)\big]\\
&-2\sigma_{n-2}(e)\sum_{3\le i\le n}(e_2-e_i)\big[(n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i)-\sigma_{n-2}(e)\big]\Big\}+O(u_1^6\log u_1).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

By the elementary computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{3\le i\le n}(e_2+e_i)\big[(n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i)-\sigma_{n-2}(e)\big]^2\\
&+2e_2\sum_{3\le i<j\le n}\big[(n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i)-\sigma_{n-2}(e)\big]\big[(n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|j)-\sigma_{n-2}(e)\big]\\
&-2\sigma_{n-2}(e)\sum_{3\le i\le n}(e_2-e_i)\big[(n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i)-\sigma_{n-2}(e)\big]\\
=&e_2\Big\{\sum_{3\le i\le n}\big[(n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i)-\sigma_{n-2}(e)\big]\Big\}^2\\
&-2e_2\sigma_{n-2}(e)\sum_{3\le i\le n}\big[(n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i)-\sigma_{n-2}(e)\big]\\
&+\sum_{3\le i\le n}e_i\big[(n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i)-\sigma_{n-2}(e)\big]^2\\
&+2\sigma_{n-2}(e)\sum_{3\le i\le n}e_i\big[(n-1)\sigma_{n-2}(e|i)-\sigma_{n-2}(e)\big]\\
=&-e_2\sigma_{n-2}^2(e)+(n-1)^2\sum_{3\le i\le n}e_i\sigma_{n-2}^2(e|i)-\sigma_{n-2}^2(e)\sigma_1(e|2)\\
=&[(n-1)^2\sigma_{n-1}(e)-\sigma_1(e)\sigma_{n-2}(e)]\sigma_{n-2}(e)\\
\ge&-\sigma_1(e)\sigma_{n-2}^2(e)\\
=&-(n-2)c^{11}\sigma_{n-2}^2(e).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.16}$$

Using (4.15) and (4.16), we at last get the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(x_3,x_4,\dots,x_n)\ge&Q(\bar{x}_3,\bar{x}_4,\dots,\bar{x}_n)\\
\ge&-(n-2)(c^{11})^7 g'^2(\gamma^1)^2u_1^6\log^2u_1+O(u_1^6\log u_1).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

In this computation, the bounds in the coefficient on $O(u_1^6\log u_1), O(u_1^5), O(u_1)$ depend only on $n,\Omega,M_0,\mu_0,L_1,L_2$. Thus we complete this proof. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.1., let

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \log |D'u|^2 e^{\sqrt{n}\tilde{\alpha}_0(M_0+1+u)} e^{\tilde{\alpha}_0 d},$$

where we have let

$$\tilde{\alpha}_0 = 4L_2 \frac{\sqrt{1+a_0}}{\sqrt{1-b_0^2}} + 2C_0 + 2,$$

which is a constant, and

$$a_0 = \max_{x\in\partial\Omega} \frac{2\psi^2}{1-\psi^2},$$

C_0 is also a positive constant depending only on n, Ω.

Similarly, let

$$\varphi(x) = \log \tilde{P}(x) = \log\log |D'u|^2 + h(u) + g(d),$$

where in the capillary boundary value case, we choose

$$h(u) = \sqrt{n}\tilde{\alpha}_0(M_0 + 1 + u), \quad g(d) = \tilde{\alpha}_0 d. \tag{5.1}$$

We assume that $\varphi(x)$ attains its maximum at $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0}$, where $0 < \mu_0 < \mu_1$ is a sufficiently small number which we shall decide it later.

Case 1. If $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, we shall get the bound of $|D'u|(x_0)$.

Similar calculations to case I in the proof of Theorem 1.1., let $q = 0$ in (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2 \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}(x_0) =& g'|D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2 - 2 \sum_{1\le i,k,l\le n} c^{kl} u_i u_l (\gamma^i)_k \\ & - \frac{2v}{1-\psi^2} \sum_{1\le k,l\le n} c^{kl}\psi_k u_l \\ & - \frac{h'\psi}{v(1-\psi^2)} |D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Since at x_0,

$$u_\gamma^2 = \psi^2(1+|Du|^2) = \psi^2(1+|D'u|^2+u_\gamma^2),$$

then

$$u_\gamma^2 = \frac{\psi^2}{1-\psi^2}(1+|D'u|^2). \tag{5.3}$$

If

$$a_0|D'u|^2 < u_\gamma^2, \quad a_0 = \max_{x\in\partial\Omega} \frac{2\psi^2}{1-\psi^2}, \tag{5.4}$$

then we get the estimates

$$(a_0\frac{1-\psi^2}{\psi^2}-1)|D'u|^2<1,\quad |D'u|^2<\frac{1}{a_0\frac{1-\psi^2}{\psi^2}-1}, \tag{5.5}$$

and we complete this proof.

So we can assume

$$a_0|D'u|^2\geq u_\gamma^2, \tag{5.6}$$

then from $|Du|^2=|D'u|^2+u_\gamma^2$, we have

$$|Du|^2\leq(1+a_0)|D'u|^2. \tag{5.7}$$

Now we assume at x_0, we have

$$|Du|\geq\max\{10\sqrt{(1+a_0)},2\sqrt{n}\max_{x\in\partial\Omega}\frac{|\psi|}{1-\psi^2}\}, \tag{5.8}$$

then we can get the the following estimates at x_0,

$$|D'u|\geq\max\{10,\frac{2\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{1+a_0}}\max_{x\in\partial\Omega}\frac{|\psi|}{1-\psi^2}\}. \tag{5.9}$$

Inserting (5.9) into (5.2), by the choice of $h(u),g(d)$ in (5.1), it follows that at x_0,

(5.10)

$$\begin{aligned}|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}\geq&\big[\tilde{\alpha}_0-\frac{\sqrt{n}\tilde{\alpha}_0}{v}\frac{|\psi|}{1-\psi^2}-2\sqrt{1+a_0}\frac{|\psi|_{C^1(\partial\Omega)}}{\sqrt{1-\psi^2}}-C_0\big]|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2\\ \geq&|D'u|^2\log|D'u|^2\\ >&0.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the Hopf Lemma, we have

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}(x_0)\leq 0,$$

it is a contradiction to (5.10). Then we have

$$|D'u|(x_0)\leq\max\{10,\max_{x\in\partial\Omega}\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_0\frac{1-\psi^2}{\psi^2}-1}},\frac{2\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{1+a_0}}\max_{x\in\partial\Omega}\frac{|\psi|}{1-\psi^2}\}.$$

Case 2. $x_0\in\partial\Omega_{\mu_0}\bigcap\Omega$. This is due to interior gradient estimates. From Remark 1.4, we have

$$\sup_{\partial\Omega_{\mu_0}\bigcap\Omega}|Du|\leq\tilde{M}_1. \tag{5.11}$$

where $\tilde{M}_1$ is a positive constant depending only on n,M_0,μ_0,L_1.

Case 3. $x_0\in\Omega_{\mu_0}$.

As in the proof of the Case III in Theorem 1.1, we can let $0 < \mu_0 < \mu_1$ be sufficiently small positive constant. As before we have

$$\sup_{\Omega} |Du|^2 \leq C_1(1 + \sup_{\partial\Omega} |Du|^2),$$

where C_1 is a positive constant depending on n, L_1, M_0. From Case 1, we can assume (5.6), otherwise we have finished the proof of Theorem 1.2. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\Omega} |Du|^2 \leq & C_1\big[1 + (1 + a_0) \sup_{\partial\Omega \bigcap \{|D'u| \geq 1\}} |D'u|^2\big] \\ \leq & C_2 \sup_{\partial\Omega \bigcap \{|D'u| \geq 1\}} |D'u|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

So we have

$$\sup_{\Omega_{\mu_0}} |Du|^2 \leq C_2 \sup_{\overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0}(M)} |D'u|^2, \tag{5.13}$$

where $\Omega_{\mu_0}(M) = \Omega_{\mu_0} \bigcap \{|D'u| \geq M\}$, $M > 10$ is a positive constant; C_2 is a positive constant depending on n, L_1, b_0, M_0.

Assume $x_1 \in \Omega_{\mu_0}(M)$ such that

$$\sup_{\Omega_{\mu_0}(M)} |D'u|^2 = |D'u|^2(x_1). \tag{5.14}$$

Since $\tilde{P}(x_0) \geq \tilde{P}(x_1)$, then we have

$$\log |D'u|^2(x_0) h(u(x_0)) g(d(x_0)) \geq \log |D'u|^2(x_1) h(u(x_1)) g(d(x_1)). \tag{5.15}$$

It follows that

$$|D'u|^2(x_1) \leq C_3 |D'u|^2(x_0). \tag{5.16}$$

where C_3 is a positive constant depending on n, L_1, b_0, L_2, M_0. However

$$\sup_{\Omega_{\mu_0}} |Du|^2 \leq C_2 \sup_{\Omega_{\mu_0}(M)} |D'u|^2 = |D'u|^2(x_1) \leq C_3 |D'u|^2(x_0). \tag{5.17}$$

Assume $|D'u|(x_0) \geq M > 10$, otherwise we get the estimate. Hence at x_0,

$$u_1^2(x_0) = |Du|^2(x_0) \leq C_3 c^{11} u_1^2(x_0). \tag{5.18}$$

Then we have at x_0,

$$c^{11} \geq \frac{1}{C_3} > 0. \tag{5.19}$$

Similar calculations to Case III in the proof of Theorem 1.1., and by the choice of $h(u), g(d)$ in (5.1), we can obtain at last

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq & \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n} a^{ij}\varphi_{ij} \\ \geq & \big\{h'^2 + [(c^{11})^2(n-2) - c^{11}(n-3)]g'^2\big\}u_1^2 \log u_1 - C_4 u_1^2 \\ \geq & 3u_1^2 \log u_1 - C_5 u_1^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

There exists a positive constant C_6 such that

$$|D'u|(x_0) \leq C_6. \tag{5.21}$$

So from Case 1, Case 2, Case 3, we complete the new proof of Theorem 1.2. □

Remark 5.1. *For $q > 1$ or $q = 0$, $\psi = \psi(x, u)$, under the condition $|\psi_u| \leq O(v^{q-1}\log v)$, we can obtain the gradient estimate for the problem* (1.1)-(1.2).

6. Proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3.

In order to unify the computation with the proof of Theorem 1.1, we still use the summation index from 1 to n, and at last we take $n = 2, 3$.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, let

$$\Phi(x) = \log|D'u|^2 e^{1+M_0+u} e^{\beta_0 d}, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0},$$

where $\beta_0 = 2L_3 + C_0 + 1$, C_0 is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω.

Set

$$\phi(x) = \log\Phi(x) = \log\log|D'u|^2 + h(u) + g(d). \tag{6.1}$$

and in the Neumann boundary value ,we choose

$$h(u) = 1 + M_0 + u, \quad g(d) = \beta_0 d. \tag{6.2}$$

We assume that $\phi(x)$ attains its maximum at $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0}$, where $0 < \mu_0 < \mu_1$ is a sufficiently small number which we shall decide it later.

Case i. If $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, we shall get the bound of $|D'u|(x_0)$.

Following the similar calculations to case I in the proof of Theorem 1.1., we let $q = 1$ in (3.12), and get

$$\begin{aligned} |D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \gamma}(x_0) =& \big(g' - h'\psi\big)|D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2 - 2 \sum_{1\le i,k,l\le n} c^{kl} u_i u_l (\gamma^i)_k \\ & - 2 \sum_{1\le k,l\le n} c^{kl} D_k \psi u_l. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Assume $|Du|(x_0) \ge \sqrt{20}$, otherwise we get the estimates. At x_0, since

$$|Du|^2 = |D'u|^2 + u_\gamma^2 = |D'u|^2 + |\psi|^2,$$

so we can assume

$$|D'u|^2(x_0) \ge |\psi|^2_{C^0(\partial\Omega\times[-M_0,M_0])}, \tag{6.4}$$

then

$$\max\{20, 2|\psi|^2_{C^0(\partial\Omega\times[-M_0,\,M_0])}\} \le |Du|^2(x_0) \le 2|D'u|^2(x_0),$$

otherwise we get the estimates. So by the above formulas and (6.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \gamma}(x_0) \ge& \big(\beta_0 - |\psi| - |\nabla_x \psi| - 2|\psi_u| - C_0\big)|D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2 \\ \ge& \big(\beta_0 - 2L_3 - C_0\big)|D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2 \\ \ge& |D'u|^2 \log |D'u|^2 \\ >& 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

On the other hand, from Hopf Lemma,

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \gamma}(x_0) \le 0,$$

it is a contradiction to (6.5).

Then we have

$$|D'u|(x_0) \le \max\{\sqrt{10}, |\psi|_{C^0(\partial\Omega\times[-M_0,M_0])}\}. \tag{6.6}$$

Case ii. $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_{\mu_0} \bigcap \Omega$. This is due to interior gradient estimates. From Remark 1.4, we have

$$\sup_{\partial\Omega_{\mu_0} \bigcap \Omega} |Du| \le \tilde{M}_1. \tag{6.7}$$

where $\tilde{M}_1$ is a positive constant depending only on n, M_0, μ_0, L_1.

Case iii. $x_0 \in \Omega_{\mu_0}$.

As in the proof of the Case III in Theorem 1.1, we can let $0 < \mu_0 < \mu_1$ be sufficiently small positive constant. As we have

$$\sup_{\Omega} |Du|^2 \leq C_1(1 + \sup_{\partial\Omega} |Du|^2),$$

where C_1 is a positive constant depending on n, L_1, M_0. From Case i, we can assume (6.4), otherwise we have finished the proof of Theorem 1.3. Hence,

$$\sup_{\Omega} |Du|^2 \leq C_1\big[1 + 2 \sup_{\partial\Omega \bigcap \{|D'u| \geq 1\}} |D'u|^2\big] \leq C_2 \sup_{\partial\Omega \bigcap \{|D'u| \geq 1\}} |D'u|^2. \tag{6.8}$$

So as before, there exists a positive constant C_2 such that at x_0

$$c^{11} \geq \frac{1}{C_2} > 0. \tag{6.9}$$

By the above choice of h, g in (6.2) and from the similar calculations to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have for $n = 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a^{ij}\phi_{ij}(x_0) \\ &\geq \big\{h'^2 + [(c^{11})^2(n-2) - c^{11}(n-3)]\beta_0^2\big\}u_1^2 \log u_1 - C_3 u_1^2 \\ &\geq u_1^2 \log u_1 - C_3 u_1^2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

So there exists C_4 such that

$$|D'u|(x_0) \leq C_4.$$

Where the above positive constants C_2, C_2, C_4 are depending only on $n, \Omega, \mu_0, M_0, L_1, L_3$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, combining three cases, we finally get the following estimate

$$\sup_{\overline{\Omega}_{\mu_0}} |Du| \leq \max\{M_1, M_2\},$$

where positive constant M_1 depends only on n, μ_0, M_0, L_1; M_2 depends only on n, Ω, μ_0, M_0, L_1, L_3.

So we complete the new proof of Theorem 1.3. □

Acknowledgement: The paper is one part of the author's thesis in University of Science and Technology of China, she would like to thank Professor Ma Xi-Nan for his guides.

References

[1] Gerhardt C., *Global regularity of the solutions to the capillary problem*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)3(1976), 151-176.

[2] Gilbarg D. and Trudinger N., *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Reprint of the 1998 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. xiv+517 pp.

[3] Korevaar N.J., *Maximum principle gradient estimates for the capillary problem*, Comm. in Partial Differential Equations, 13(1)(1988), 1-32.

[4] Lieberman G., *Gradient estimates for capillary-type problems via the maximum principle.* Commun. in Partial Differential Equations, 13(1988), no 1, 33-59.
[5] Lieberman G., *Oblique boundary value problems for elliptic equations.* World Scientific Publishing. (2013).
[6] Ma X.N. and Xu J.J., *Gradient estimates of mean curvature equations with Neumann boundary condition.* arXiv:1406.0046.
[7] Simon L. and Spruck J., *Existence and regularity of a capillary surface with prescribed contact angle*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 61(1976), 19-34.
[8] Spruck J., *On the existence of a capillary surface with prescribed contact angle*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28(1975), 189-200.
[9] Ural'tseva N., *Solvability of the capillary problem*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. No. 19(1973), 54-64, No. 1(1975), 143-149[Russian]. English Translation in vestnik Leningrad Univ.math. 6(1979), 363-375, 8(1980), 151-158.
[10] Wang X.-J., *Interior gradient estimates for mean curvature equations*, Math.Z. 228(1998), 73-81.

Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei Anhui 230026 CHINA
E-mail address: july25@mail.ustc.edu.cn